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Introduction

• A fundamental aspect of automotive exhaust emissions is that they mostly occur in the first 100 seconds of engine operation, when the converter is below light-off temperature

• Therefore, reducing cold-start exhaust emissions is the primary focus of industry emissions control development efforts [Mondt]

• Several techniques to reduce converter light-off time exist in literature, and some of them have been introduced in production vehicles with a degree of success [Gulati et al.]

• However, nearly all of them compromise on engine performance and/or fuel economy

• An important alternative in this regard is the Vacuum Insulated Catalytic Converter (VICC)
Introduction

- This technology uses vacuum insulation, metal bellows, radiation shields and phase-change material (PCM) to keep the converter above light-off temperature following long periods of cold-soak.

- The VICC was originally developed at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). Analysis by NREL and Benteler Automotive [Burch et al.] demonstrated the heat retention capacity of the VICC, with NMHC and CO emissions reductions of 66% and 65% respectively.
Introduction

• In this research, a VICC-type converter has been modeled and simulated in GT-Suite
• The model accounted for all the key heat transfer effects in the exhaust system
• The vehicle aftertreatment model was replicated for a conventional TWC converter (CC), and simulated for conventional and hybrid electric vehicles
• For each vehicle, drive cycle simulations were followed by soak simulations of differing times. An experiment was conducted to calculate tailpipe flow during vehicle soak, and the results from this were used as model inputs
• To examine the real-world benefits of the converter, driving data was obtained from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), and a MATLAB code was developed to statistically analyze 23,156 drive cycles
The VICC was simulated on standard drive cycles to develop a correlation between melt time of the phase-change material (PCM), average drive cycle speed and acceleration.

This correlation was used to predict the probability that the PCM will melt in a given real-world driving cycle.

The MATLAB code was also used to calculate soak time probability and a conservative re-solidification time probability.

Finally, FTP emission results were weighted with the soak time probabilities. This analysis showed that in real-world driving conditions, the VICC is expected to reduce cold-start CO and HC emissions by 26% and 48% respectively.
Overview

- The VICC stores heat energy and adds insulation
  - Keeps catalyst above 350°C up to 13 hours
- But, added thermal mass means slower light off if you get fully cold
- Conventional and hybrid vehicles modeled
- Real world drive cycles analyzed
  - Real soak times are short enough the catalyst will almost always be warm at start
  - Significant real-world emission reductions are possible
Cold-Start Converter Modeling
Cold-Start Converter Modeling

Diagram showing the components of a cold-start converter, including sections labeled as Section-I, Section-II, and Section-III.
Aftertreatment GT-Power Model

Vacuum Insulated Catalytic Converter with TWC Kinetics
Details of GT-Power modeling and data sources are in backup slides
First, a conventional vehicle with steady-state maps representative of a 2015 Ford Taurus (with a 3.5 L naturally aspirated engine) was simulated for an FTP drive cycle (without the hot soak).

It was observed that one FTP cycle was not enough to completely melt the PCM. Therefore, a FTP+HWFET prep-cycle was used.
Prep-Cycle Simulation Results-Engine Out

- The figure shows the engine-out exhaust flow rates and temperatures. These values can be directly calculated using engine-maps once the engine speed and load are known for a given vehicle speed.
Prep-Cycle Simulation Results-Wall Temperature – First Brick
(One-Inch Front Centre)
Prep-Cycle Simulation Results - Wall Temperature – Second Brick
(One-Inch Rear Centre)
Prep-Cycle Simulation Results-2D Temperature Profile

- Analysis of 2-D catalyst temperature profiles showed that the PCM on top of the VICC was fully melted around 2204 seconds into the prep-cycle. Figures below show the temperature distribution at this point in time.
Soak Simulation Results - Wall Temperature

*(One-Inch Front Centre, Front Brick)*

- Following prep-cycle simulations, the GT-Suite model was simulated for different periods of vehicle soak (3 hours, 6 hours, etc.). Following this, emissions were compared with a CC over the first bag of FTP.

VICC is nearly isothermal during soak.
FTP Simulation Results - Emissions

- Start from fully cold
- More than 24 hours soak time
HEV Prep-Cycle Simulation Results-Engine State

![Graph showing Engine On/Off Switch (1=ON; 0=OFF)]
HEV Prep-Cycle Simulation Results-Wall Temperature
(One-Inch Front Centre)
HEV Prep-Cycle Simulation Results-Wall Temperature
(One-Inch Rear Centre)
Analysis of 2-D catalyst temperature profiles showed that the PCM on top of the VICC was fully melted around 2324 seconds into the prep-cycle. Figures below show the temperature distribution at this point in time.
HEV FTP Simulation Results - Emissions

Start from Fully cold
Real-World Simulation Results

- In order to analyze the usefulness of the VICC in the real-world, driving data was obtained from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) through their light-duty Transportation Secure Database Center.
- The two most recent surveys were conducted in California and Atlanta, in 2012 and 2011 respectively. These two datasets were used for all analyses. NREL had processed all the driving data into real-world speed vs time “drive cycles”.
- The real-world research was split in two directions. First, two random (but distinct) drive cycles were selected from the Atlanta dataset, and simulated using GT-Suite. This would give a representation of the how the VICC would compare to a CC in a real-world sample.
- Second, a MATLAB code was developed to statistically analyze all 23,156 cycles for information like soak time distribution, PCM melt and re-solidification probability.
First Real-World Drive Cycle-Speed Profile
First Real-World Drive Cycle-Emissions

Start from Fully cold

- Short cycles do not melt the PCM
- Modeled as fully cold
- See below for probability of this
Second Real-World Drive Cycle-Speed Profile
Second Real-World Drive Cycle-Emissions

Start from Fully cold
To perform detailed statistical analysis of the data, a MATLAB code was developed.

First, information regarding soak times was desired. To do this, soak times were split into hourly ranges (0-1 hour, 1-2 hours etc.) after filtering out soaks less than 300 seconds. This was an arbitrary threshold chosen to differentiate between soak and idle periods. To compare the number of soaks in each range, a soak time probability was defined as follows:

\[
\text{Soak Time Probability} (R1 - R2) = \frac{\text{Total Number of Stops} (R1-R2)}{\text{Total Number of Stops}}
\]

where \( R1 \) and \( R2 \) represent the two limits of the soak-time range (For eg. \( R1 = 0 \) and \( R2 = 1 \) for 0-1 hour soak). Using this equation, probability vs soak time range plots were obtained for the Atlanta and California datasets.
Soak Time Probability-Atlanta

- Approximately 99% of the time soak is less than 10 hours
- Thus, <1% of starts are fully cold!
Soak Time Probability - California
Compare cooling rate versus likely soak time
Weighted FTP Emissions

- As can be seen from the figures, more than 50% of soaks were less than one hour long. The remaining soaks were mostly distributed from 1-11 hours, with very few long soaks.
- These probabilities were then multiplied with the FTP emission results for a conventional vehicle. Thus, relative emissions after a 3-hour soak were multiplied with soak time probabilities between 1-2, 2-3 and 3-4 hours (6-hour soak with 4-5, 5-6, 6-7 hours etc.)
- The resulting numbers are shown below. This represents a conservative estimate of the predicted cold-start emissions improvements from the VICC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weighted First Bag FTP</th>
<th>CO [% g/mi]</th>
<th>HC [% g/mi]</th>
<th>NO [% g/mi]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An important question to ask in any real-world driving cycle is: What is the probability that the PCM will melt early enough in a given driving cycle to allow the VICC to fully utilize its heat retention capacity?

Information regarding this melt time (and/or the probability of melting) would represent substantial evidence with which to judge this advanced insulation technology.

The NREL dataset consisted of only speed and acceleration vs time data.

It was hypothesized that there exists a direct relationship between vehicle speed, vehicle acceleration, and PCM melt time.

While driving at higher speeds or accelerations, more exhaust gas energy is available for the PCM to absorb (indirectly via the catalyst brick) and use for melting.
Melt Time-Speed-Acceleration Correlation

(Averaging speed and acceleration over entire drive cycle)

- In order to obtain the relationship between PCM melt time, vehicle speed and vehicle (positive) acceleration, various different standard drive cycles were simulated using the VICC vehicle-aftertreatment model in GT-Suite

\[ T_{\text{melt}} = 3635.3 - (46.6 \times S) - (1305.4 \times A) + (40.7105 \times S \times A) - (0.1654 \times S^2) - (691.6258 \times A^2) \]

Where \( T_{\text{melt}} \) = Time for PCM to melt in seconds
S = Average drive cycle speed in mph
A = Average positive drive cycle acceleration in mph/s

- Comparison plot of GT-Suite simulation melt time and MATLAB regression melt time are shown on the next slide
Melt Time-Speed-Acceleration Correlation
(Averaging speed and acceleration over entire drive cycle)

![Graph showing Melt Time-Speed-Acceleration Correlation]

- GT-Suite
- MATLAB Regression

$R^2 = 0.9676$
Melting and Re-Solidification Probability

- The statistical equation was used to obtain a probability that the PCM will melt in the first drive cycle – assuming it was fully cold at the start (conservative assumption)
- If it did, the probability that there is a soak of 6-hours (PCM solidification time) or more in the rest of the drive cycle was estimated, and defined as maximum re-solidification probability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atlanta</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melt Time Probability</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Re-Solidification Probability (if melted in first drive cycle)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- All of these analyses can be extended further to examine how much of the PCM latent heat will be available for heat retention purposes at a given point in a real-world driving cycle
Discussion

• This work was a brief modeling study
• Many possible design alternatives were not explored
• VICC variables
  – PCM amount – heat storage versus light off thermal mass
  – PCM and brick geometry
    • Smaller diameter, longer bricks give higher heat transfer to PCM
    • Ceramic brick mat mounts reduce heat flow between catalyst and PCM – longer melt times but less effect on warm up for a fully-cold system
    • PCM could be offset from bricks – leave front of brick uncovered to improve fully-cold light off
• Fast light off engine strategies
  – We simply assumed 100°C increase for 100 seconds. Other strategies are obviously possible.
• Vehicle, engine, and catalyst models are fairly crude
• EPA offers credits for real-world benefits. VICC can reduce real-world emissions by keeping the catalyst warm for almost all starts.
Conclusions

• The VICC greatly extends catalyst cooling time
• Thermal mass of the PCM makes fully-cold start emission higher, but this is a relatively unlikely event in real world driving
• Cold start emissions for most starts will be greatly reduced
• Following 12-hour soak simulations, the FTP first bag CO, HC and NO emissions improved by 99%, 46% and 69% respectively. Similarly high improvements were seen for hybrid vehicles.
• For real-world drive cycle simulations, noticeable improvements in emissions were seen following vehicle soaks of 2-hours or more
• Using soak time distributions, the FTP emissions were weighted, and gave CO and HC improvements of 26% and 48% respectively
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Cold-Start Converter Modeling

Kinematic Driving Cycles with an Automatic Transmission

For more details, see the "Model_Description" tab or double-click the icon below.
Cold-Start Converter Modeling

- Exhaust pipe
- Phase change material
- Variable-conductance vacuum insulation
- Catalyst
- Bellows

Sections:
- Section-I
- Section-II
- Section-III
Aftertreatment GT-Power Model

Vacuum Insulated Catalytic Converter with TWC Kinetics
The dimensions of the stainless-steel pipe and cone elements were determined through careful measurement of the prototype converter.

Additionally, the thermal properties of the vacuum layer were considered to be extremely low (thermal mass \( \approx 1 \times 10^{-7} \ J/m^3-K \)), but not zero for model stability considerations.

Due to the non-uniformity of the outer layers in the prototype, an equivalent average thickness was calculated for the model.

Refer to published SAE Paper [Daya et al.] for exact dimensional specifications used in GT model.
VICC Design Modeling

(Section II)

- Section-II consisted of two metallic substrates arranged in series. The substrates were surrounded by two outer layers comprising of stainless steel and phase-change material (PCM)
- The PCM used for the present simulation was a Magnesium-Zinc-Aluminum (Mg-Zn-Al) metal eutectic alloy
- The density and specific heat of the PCM were evaluated using individual element properties and mass fractions, and the thermal conductivity was taken from a 2015 reference [Risueño et al.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Eutectic Mass Fraction</th>
<th>Eutectic Mole Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VICC Design Modeling

*(Section II)*

- The latent heat capacity of the PCM was incorporated into the specific heat using the effective heat capacity method [Lamberg et al.]

\[ c_{eff} = \frac{L}{T_2 - T_1} + c_p \]

- Some properties of Section-II of the VICC are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel geometry</td>
<td>Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>9.6 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (each of 2)</td>
<td>11.8 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell density</td>
<td>78 cells/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGM loading</td>
<td>3.4 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM mass</td>
<td>3.9057 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM Latent Heat</td>
<td>236.609 kJ/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM melting temperature</td>
<td>340°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM solid phase density</td>
<td>2776 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM liquid phase density</td>
<td>2561 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM solid phase specific heat</td>
<td>715 J/kg-K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM liquid phase specific heat</td>
<td>957 J/kg-K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VICC Design Modeling

(Section II)

- Material Used for VICC substrates: Stainless Steel (0.05 mm thickness) with Alumina washcoat (0.03 mm thickness)
- Conventional Converter (CC) modeled (for comparative studies) identically to VICC without the outer vacuum and PCM layers.
- CC substrate changed from Stainless Steel to Cordierite (0.15 mm thickness). Intumescent mat (XPE AV-2 with 0.58 cm thickness) placed above CC (thermal properties obtained directly from Unifrax)
VICC Design Modeling Assumptions

- Metallic bellows were not modeled explicitly due to their complex geometry. Instead, the reduction in conduction heat loss was modeled using a “no-conduction” orifice between the pipe and cone elements.
- The dimensional change of the phase-change material (PCM) on melting/solidification is not considered.
- The thermal properties of the PCM were assumed to undergo a step change during melting/solidification.
- The copper foil radiation shield was modeled implicitly as a reduction in the surface emissivity of the stainless-steel above which it was present (by 95%).
• Heat transfer from the external surfaces of the system takes place by convection and radiation; the combined rate to the surface of an element with an external surface area of $A$ is:

$$\dot{Q}_s = h_o A (T_\infty - T_i) + \sigma \varepsilon F_v A (T_\infty^4 - T_i^4)$$

where $F_v$ is the grey body view factor and $\varepsilon$ is the surface emissivity.

• The product $\varepsilon F_v$ was taken as 0.59 from well-known SAE reference [Shayler et al.]

• External Heat Transfer Coefficient above Pipe and Cone Elements determined using same reference

$$h_o = 10 + (0.42 \times V_s)$$

where $V_s$ is vehicle speed in kph

Note: Text in red indicates model updates AFTER SAE paper submission
The heat transfer through the catalyst substrate wall was modeled using a 2-D conduction object that considered both axial and radial conduction in the substrate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Radial Sub-Volumes</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Axial Sub-Volumes</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radial Distance Discretization Multiplier (RDDM) = 1.0 (equidistant)
Aftertreatment Heat Transfer Modeling (VICC Substrates)

- Outer Layers above both substrates ➔ Stainless Steel, PCM, Stainless Steel, Vacuum Hydride Insulation
- In GT-SUITE, 2-D Conduction ➔ Lumping of Outer Layers (they are working on fix for v2017 after they learnt about this issue)
- Fix for our model ➔ Vacuum Layer modeled implicitly as a reduction in external heat transfer coefficient above both substrates

\[ h_o = \left( \frac{1}{\text{External } h \text{ reduction factor}} \right) \times (10 + (0.42 \times V_s)) \]

Calibrated using 1999 VICC experimental temperature data.
A sample template was used to model the three-way catalyst (TWC) reaction mechanism [TWC_Ramanathan_and_Sharma]
A reaction actuator was used to turn off all the reactions during vehicle soak.

Solver Options:

- 2-D Conduction modeling meant Advanced Adaptive ODE/DAE solver could not be used. RADAU with a nonlinear algebraic solver error tolerance of 1E-4 was used.

Assumption: Uniform PGM Loading and no gas-phase reactions.

- PGM loading of 3.4 g/L with a dispersion factor of 0.17 (representative of fresh catalyst) used.
Flow Modeling and Solver Characteristics

- **Assumption**: Plug flow at catalyst inlet and fully-developed laminar flow inside both substrates (constant Nusselt number along the length of the brick)
- No friction or heat transfer multipliers used due to lack of experimental data
- Flow Solver Recommended for Aftertreatment Models: **Quasi-Steady**
- Thermal Solver: **Transient**
- **Real-Gas** option used with Redlich-Kwong Equation of State:
  
  \[ P = \frac{RT}{V_m - b} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{T} V_m (V_m + b)} \]

- Time-marching scheme: Explicit Runge-Kutta (5\textsuperscript{th} order accurate)
- Time-step for flow, temperature and RLT calculations: 0.1 s
Vehicle-Aftertreatment GT-Power Model

Kinematic Driving Cycles with an Automatic Transmission

For more details, see the "Model_Description" tab or double-click the icon below.
Engine-State Modeling

- Steady-state engine-out speed-load maps obtained from an automotive OEM
- Influence of spark retard modeled as 100°C temperature increase for 100 seconds
- 3-sets of maps for different engine displacements: 2 L, 3.5 L & 6.2 L
- Maps included: Engine-out CO, HC and NO, exhaust-gas temperatures and exhaust flow rates
- Information from OEM about other species: CO₂ (~14.6%), O₂ (0.4-0.8%) and H₂O (~13.5% including humidity)
- Lack of exact O₂ data meant not all maps could be used with good lambda control (lack of good control compromises emission results)
Engine-State Modeling

- Engine-out $O_2$ estimated $\Rightarrow$ Control system used to calculate stoich $O_2$ from reaction equation balance

\[
c_{O_2} = \left( \frac{MW_{O_2}}{MW_{exh}} \right) \times (y_{CO} + (3 \times y_{C_3H_8}) + (3 \times y_{C_3H_6}) + (0.5 \times y_{H_2}) - (0.5 \times y_{NO}))
\]

where $y_i$ refers to mole fraction of species $i$, $c_i$ refers to mass fraction of species $i$ and $MW$ refers to Molecular Weight in g/mol. Species coefficients represent number of moles of $O_2$ needed to completely oxidize/reduce one mole of the exhaust species.

- Observation: 3.5 L maps did best in terms of lambda window oscillations. Thus, these maps were used for all analysis.
Engine-State Modeling Assumptions

- Engine-Out CO$_2$ and H$_2$O were fixed at 14.6% (by vol.) and 13.5% (by vol.) respectively.
- Engine-out HC was composed of 80% (by volume) propylene (representative of fast HC) and 20% propane (representative of slow HC).
- The mole fraction of hydrogen was assumed to be $\frac{1}{3}$rd the mole fraction of CO due to unavailability of engine-out H$_2$ data.
- NO$_x$ out of the engine was assumed to consist only of NO.
Vehicle-Aftertreatment GT-Power Model

Kinematic Driving Cycles with an Automatic Transmission

For more details, see the "Model_Description" tab or double-click the icon below.

TCU

Engine

Transmission

VKA

Aftertreatment

Vehicle
In simulations of vehicles for driving cycle fuel economy and emissions, it is sometimes convenient to directly impose the state (typically speed) of a node on the driveline.

For an energy balance to be satisfied at all times, this requires allowing a source or sink of power, and the torque or force associated with this source, to “float” and be solved for by this simulation.

In GT-Suite, the term kinematic analysis is used to describe these types of simulations.

The drive cycle speed (in km/hr) was imposed using the vehicle kinematic analysis (VKA) object. This imposed speed was used to evaluate the engine speed and brake torque, and therefore estimate the engine-out emissions and exhaust gas temperature using emissions and temperature maps.
Vehicle-Aftertreatment GT-Power Model

Kinematic Driving Cycles with an Automatic Transmission

For more details, see the "Model_Description" tab or double-click the icon below.

Engine -> Transmission -> Vehicle
TCU

VKA

Aftertreatment
Vehicle Object Modeling

- Vehicle specifications representative of a D-class passenger car (3.5 L naturally aspirated engine) were used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Mass</td>
<td>lb</td>
<td>4035...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger and Cargo Mass</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>136...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Drag Coefficient</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.29...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Frontal Area</td>
<td>m^2</td>
<td>2.7...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Wheelbase</td>
<td>in</td>
<td>112.9...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Dist From Last Rear Axle to Mass Center</td>
<td>in</td>
<td>56.45...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Tire Width</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect Ratio</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of Wheel</td>
<td>in</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling Radius Speed Dependence Correction</td>
<td>fraction</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tire Rolling Resistance Factor</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tire Inflation Pressure</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td>Ign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction Coefficient Limit</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vehicle-Aftersatreatment GT-Power Model

Kinematic Driving Cycles with an Automatic Transmission

For more details, see the "Model_Description" tab or double-click the icon below.

Left as default
HEV-Aftetreatment GT-Power Model
HEV-Aftertreatment GT-Power Model

- To examine the benefits of the VICC on a HEV, a dynamic power-split HEV-aftertreatment model was constructed using GT-Suite.
- The engine and vehicle components were modified in a manner similar to the conventional vehicle model. The vehicle used for hybrid simulations was a 2013 Infiniti M35h with an engine displacement of 3.5L. Some specifications of the vehicle are shown below.
Exhaust System Air Flow Experiment

- During soak a temperature gradient exists between the engine and the exhaust tailpipe.
- This gradient sets up a mass flow of air through natural convection from the tailpipe to the engine, a process known as thermosiphon effect.
- This flow was measured on two vehicles.
- Results of this test were not only useful as inputs for our model simulations, but can also be used for other exhaust flow and heat transfer investigations.
Exhaust System Air Flow Experiment

• **Experimental Setup:**
The measurement system used to quantify the exhaust flow rate of tested vehicles was a Sensidyne Gilibrator-2 flow meter. The exhaust flow was passed through the flow meter using custom connections. The flow meter was connected to the exhaust tailpipe of a vehicle using stainless-steel clamps, a rubber housing and a plastic reducer. The experimental setup is shown below.
Exhaust System Air Flow Experiment

- **Experimental Results:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Engine Speed (RPM)</th>
<th>Manifold Air Flow Rate (g/s)</th>
<th>Relative Throttle Position (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idle Operation</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soak</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experimental Results:
This flow rate was used in a GT-Suite vehicle-aftertreatment model with a conventional converter and simulated for 3-hours of vehicle soak (following an FTP prep-cycle simulation).

Figure below shows temperature plot. It was concluded that the thermosiphon flow did not have a significant influence on converter cooldown.
Key Conclusions from SAE Paper

• The vacuum-insulation and PCM outer layers were successfully able to keep the converter above 350°C for at least 12 hours of vehicle soak
• Due to the high thermal mass of the PCM, the VICC was noticeably slower in reaching light-off temperatures, and this led to increased baseline cold-start emissions on all drive cycles, ambient conditions and vehicle types
• Following 12 hours of vehicle soak, CO, HC and NOₓ emissions improved by 92%, 61% and 84% respectively over the first bag of the FTP
• For all simulated drive cycles, CO and HC emissions were reduced significantly following 12-hour vehicle soaks
Key Conclusions from SAE Paper

- As long as the VICC remained above light-off temperature following vehicle soak, the benefits in emissions were identical for a range of ambient temperatures. Following 24-hours of vehicle soak, the VICC did relatively worse for lower ambient temperatures.

- The heat retention capacity of the VICC led to higher emissions reductions for HEVs compared to conventional vehicles following 12-hour vehicle soaks. Thus, HEVs represent an attractive application for this advanced emission control technology.